SHUG-EC Conference Call: Wednesday, December 14, 2022, 11:00 AM – 12:00 PM EDT

Attendees (online):

ORNL: Jaime Fernandez-Baca, Janell Thomson, Lisa Debeer-Schmitt, Travis Williams, Naresh Osti

External: Amy Xu, Geneva Laurita, Yun Liu, Rebecca Dally, Rana Ashkar, Peter Gilbert, Daniel Shoemaker, Igor Zaliznyak, Adrian Brügger

Document approval:

Minutes from November 2022 conference call: approve

Agenda for December 2022 conference call: approve

- 1. Update of the EC election and planning for the first meeting of next year with new EC members. (Igor, Janell, Yun)
 - winners are Julia Zaikina, Ben Frandsen and Mingda Li and all three have accepted the role. All represent hard condensed matter despite candidate pool being diverse in science backgrounds.
 - more people voted this year than past years (probably due to bylaw change)
 - new vice chair and secretary need to be voted on in first meeting of new year
 - action item (Janell): add new EC members to SHUG email
 - action item (Rebecca): add new members to EC Slack
- 2. Update of UX software experience workshop (Igor)
 - Workshop organized by people developing data reduction software at ORNL. Endproduct of workshop was a document that specifies user experience optimization guidelines.
- 3. Discussion about the dates of the next year's user meeting (on-site meeting) (everyone)
 - Date for user meeting decided to be the week of June 12. Tentatively, it will be June 12-13, 2023.
 - After date finalized, save the date should be circulated ideally by end of year.
 - planning will require a once-a-month meeting with a committee (not limited to EC participation)
 - **action item (Igor):** will create list of scientific fields that need to be represented in the user meeting planning committee.
 - Action item (everyone): contribute to Igor's list with people interested in being part of the planning committee
 - action item (Janell): send previous user meeting agenda to EC
- 4. EC's opinions about the future use of the remote experiment capability at ORNL developed during the pandemic (everyone)
 - Pros: people who cannot come to experiments for various reasons (undergrads needing to attend classes/exams, faculty with prior commitments, not enough funding, etc) can still participate; more people can be included in experiments; super users who don't need training can easily complete experiments
 - Cons: no substitute for onsite training for new users; more work for instrument scientists at beginning of experiment
 - user office could maybe reach out to those who have done remote experiment to learn about their experiences.
- 6. Needs volunteers for MFA test in January. (Janell, Yun)
 - lab in general is trying to implement MFA
 - suggested to get actual users involved in next phase of testing to figure out solutions; need people who can give good feedback.

- First iteration of MFA was "clunky" and user testing important to avoid this for remote experiments.
- **action item (all):** give Janell some names for users who would want to help with testing. Originally scheduled for January, but maybe later.
- 7. Update the SHUG "Breakthrough Symposium" status. (Janell, Adrian, Daniel, Geneva, Rebecca, and Yun)
 - have senior speakers but still looking for more junior speakers with greater diversity.