

Neutron Polarization

NXS 2023, August 8, 2023

Barry Winn

With Dean Myles & Josh Pierce

& Poster presenters Fankang Li, Jon Leiner...



ORNL is managed by UT-Battelle, LLC for the US Department of Energy





Scope of this lesson



Yes! Utilizing polarized neutrons to distinguish different aspects or dimensions (contrast and character) of the "unpolarized" neutron scattering



Just a taste...Enhance resolution via Larmor precession of the polarized neutron before and/or after sample

Not in this school: Using polarized neutrons to better understand the physics of the neutron itself





A "neutron" by any other name...



Neutrons are NOT neutral

- Doesn't the term "neutron" imply neutral everything?
 - Electric neutrality, yes
 - Neutrons ignore charge of electrons and protons in atoms
 - Penetrating power!
 - Pesky quarks

3

- Neutron spin
 - Affects "weak force" interaction
- Neutron magnetic dipole moment
 - Affects magnetic interaction
- Scattering polarized neutrons from materials changes scattered neutron intensity and sometimes neutron polarization state

Spin: $\sigma_n = \frac{1}{2}$

Dipole moment: $\mu_n = -1.913 \ \mu_N$ Nuclear magneton: $\mu_N = 5.051E - 27 \ \text{JT}^{-1}$

Which way is 'up'? Depends on what you're using... Did we really put these on the same diagram with totally different units? Yes, yes we did...

Now in 3D!



Key <u>Questions</u> you need to be asking



Can polarized neutrons help my science?

Not easy to answer. Not just a single Application! In this presentation we provide a framework for figuring this out

Are polarized neutrons needed?



Alternative, unpolarized ways to answer same thing?

Reality check?



- A. Available with scattering technique?
- B. Time and statistics due to (usually) reduced signal and (often) multiple measurements?
 - i. Problematic on high throughput instruments
- C. Complications of polarized optics (compatibility with sample conditions, corrections to data, etc.)
- D. Ease of reaching results (software tailored for polarization)

Depends on state-of-art, and what's available where





<u>Clues</u> to how experimentalists utilize polarized neutrons

Somewhere hidden in each publication is a statement that answers this question

- Accounts for the system / material being studied
- Identifies a specific 'capability' leveraging polarized neutron scattering

How to find this statement?

 Find the polarized neutron figure, backtrack to the the text where that figure is referenced, and voila!

Sometimes even more context

 Often find introductory / explanatory text about polarized neutrons, despite 60-year history



Examples of papers and application statements in mini poster session!



Polarization application statement



Ingredients for your 'polarized' application statement

As a [**SCIENCE AREA**] neutron scattering experimentalist, I want to [**NEUTRON SCATTERING TECHNIQUE**]

so I can [APPLICATION statement] for [SCIENCE EXAMPLE]



Drill-down on ingredients for your polarization application statement

Science areas (no, really! Yours, too)

- Biology
- Soft matter & Polymers
- Materials & Engineering
- Condensed matter & Quantum materials
- Chemistry
- Geology

7

• Environmental Science



Neutron Scattering Technique (Instrument)

- Structure vs dynamics
 - Length / Q scales
 - Time / energy scales
- Real space vs reciprocal space
 - Imaging / microscopy / tomography
 - Reciprocal space
 - Sometimes both
- Meso-structure
 - Layered systems with reflectometry
 - Microscopy





Can <u>distinguishing different aspects of neutron scattering</u> help my science? Piecing together the puzzle!





CAK RIDGE HIGH FLUX ISOTOPE National Laboratory REACTOR SOURCE

CAK RIDGE Contrast / scattering length / cross sectional area New Dimension to Weak Nuclear Force $\vec{\sigma}_n$ Scattering from nuclei of atoms • Structure factor - Real-space: Highly localized Old news... • Think "Dot" or point scattering - Reciprocal-space: Nuclear (think Fourier transformed space) coherent • "Everything Everywhere All at Once" scatterina Most visible at high momentum transfer Q Nuclear Coherent scattering Random Isotope - Never changes neutron spin state Sample Isotope incoherent scattering - Never changes neutron spin state Random Nuclear Nuclear-spin incoherent Spin - A random nuclei spin interacting with a neutron Sample spin - When random nuclear spin orientations, inverts neutron spin state 2/3 of the time... Coaligned

 When nuclear spins are aligned, some of the 'incoherent' scattering from polarized neutrons becomes 'coherent'

9



nuclear spin

Sample

Neutron Scattering Contrast

Contrast / scattering length / cross sectional area

Neutron Scattering Contrast



New Dimension to Character of interaction CAK RIDGE Neutron Scatterina Character Incoherent Individual atom behavior INSTITUT I AUE-I ANGEVI Great for movement like diffusion or vibrational modes _ NEUTRON DATA - Not-so-great for position determination BOOKLET EDITORS Albert-José Dianoux Incoherence via collective randomness* ILL (Grenoble) Gerry Lander ITU (Karlsruhe) $\sigma_{inc} = \sigma_{isotope} + \sigma_{spin}$ Isotope incoherent For isotopes it's just σ_{spin} July 2003 - Typically, doesn't exist when only one isotope per element ocpscience Can surprise you when coherent scattering lengths have opposite sign Random Isotope - Never changes neutron spin state Sample Spin incoherent Random Nuclear - Implies random orientation of spins of atomic nuclei Spin Sample When random spin orientations, changes neutron spin state 2/3 of the time...

11

*Incoherent scattering can also arise when the coherent scattering is suppressed via destructive interference. In this case there is also no-spin-flip during scattering.

One of our favorite alloys where this occurs is TiZr, where opposite scattering lengths for the two elements lead to only isotope incoherent scattering. At HYSPEC we use this alloy as a standard, similar to Vanadium but with no-spin-flip, to measure both uniformity at our detector array, and flipping ratio.



CAK RIDGE	New Dimension to	Character of interaction	Neutron Scattering Character
		Coherent	
		 Cooperative atoms Lattice-like structure Coordinated motion or reorientations 	
		 Think "scattering length" Instead of just "cross section area" Negative "lengths" possible 	
		with weak force / isotope specific scattering	
		 Index of refraction & critical reflection angle Braggis low 	
		(you should have a counting game for this by now)	
		 Neutron orientation Weak / nuclear changes spin state in predictable ways Magnetic, vector algebra 	



***OAK RIDGE** New Dimension to <u>Character</u> of interaction

Neutron Scattering Character



 σ_{abs} assumes randomly oriented neutron spin and/or nuclear spin

Polarization filtering (sometimes)

• Not explicitly in Maleev-Blume equations



New Dimension to Character of interaction

Neutron Scattering Character

Incoherent

- Individual atom behavior
 - Great for movement like diffusion or vibrational modes
 - Not-so-great for position determination
- Incoherence via collective
 randomness
- Isotope incoherent
 - Typically, doesn't exist when only one isotope per element
 - Can surprise you when coherent scattering lengths have opposite sign
 - Never changes neutron spin state
- Spin incoherent
 - Implies random orientation of spins of atomic nuclei
 - When random spin orientations, changes neutron spin state 2/3 of the time...

Coherent

- Cooperative atoms
 - Lattice-like structure
 - Coordinated motion or reorientations
- Think "scattering length"
 - Instead of just "cross section area"
 - Negative "lengths" possible with weak force / isotope specific scattering
 - Index of refraction & critical reflection angle
 - Bragg's law (you should have a counting game for this by now...)
- Neutron orientation
 - Weak / nuclear never changes spin state
 - Magnetic, vector algebra...

Absorption

Weak-force only

- Nuclear reaction
 - Differs by isotope
 - Differs by nuclear (nucleus) spin state
- Leveraged for
 - Neutron detection
 - Shielding
 - Polarization filtering (sometimes)
- Not explicitly in Maleev-Blume equations

Changing spin state of atomic nuclei enables sloshing between

Combine neutron scattering contrast and character



Vector convention: boldface Q instead of \vec{Q} Absorption never measured in scattered signal

CAK RIDGE HIGH FLUX SPALLATION National Laboratory REACTOR SOURCE



 $\mathsf{Reciprocal} \ \mathsf{space} \leftrightarrow \mathsf{Real} \ \mathsf{space}$

$N(\boldsymbol{Q}) = \sum_{n} b_n e^{i\boldsymbol{Q}\cdot\boldsymbol{R}_n}$	Nuclear structure factor
$M(0) = \sum \mathbf{M} e^{i\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{R}_n}$	Fourier transform of magnetic
$M(\mathbf{Q}) = \sum_{n} M_{n} \mathbf{C}$	moments / magnetic structure factor

Coherent scattering: "Two roads diverged..."

Leverage **lattice periodicity** for single crystals or powders (think Bragg's law, Block equations, etc.)

Leads to 'Maleev-Blume' equations with 'simple' formulas relating various contributions to scattering *into* changes in neutron scattering intensity and/or polarization state

Useful for **diffraction** and **coherent inelastic scatting** in comparable Q ranges

Also useful in conveying an intuition / insight into how polarized neutrons provide a more nuanced understanding on various scattering contributions

Will utilize Maleev-Blume vector equations as instructional aid for this introduction

Leverage **# density variations** leading into optical density variations

For e.g. 1D multilayer systems, NOT periodic so must directly solve Schrodinger's equation

Similar approach to coherent interference effects (here often called 'contrast matching')

Useful for small angle neutron scattering (SANS) and reflectometry

This approach is described in more detail in the following lectures: -Wed 8:30: <u>SANS</u> (Lisa) -Thurs 8:30: <u>Reflectometry</u> (Chuck) & this experiment: -N20: <u>Magnetism Reflectometer</u>



Thankfully, capability statements and families are same for both! (whew)





Are polarized neutrons needed?

One person's trash is

another's treasure

Overlapping information?

- Structure & dynamics in many size and energy scales
 - Sometimes, both at once!
- Nuclei-specific
- Isotope-specific
- Magnetism
- Coherent interference between nuclear and magnetic terms!?!

E	B

Unclear on directional aspects of your material?

Even if some kind of scattering is already isolated, the directionality
of your materials moments may still be unclear, motivating the use
of polarized neutrons to figure out just what kind of scattering
you're looking at.



of hydrogen scattering makes finding some Bragg peaks *near-impossible...* but that same incoherent scattering is *perfect* for measuring energies of various modes for chemistry



(usually) distinguish even with 'unpolarized' scattering

Neutron

Scattering

Contrast

Neutron

Scattering

Character

- Expecting only one kind of scattering
 - Based on system studied
 - Based on where scattering is observed
 - Low momentum transfer Q: magnetic
 - High momentum transfer Q: weak
 - Based on thermodynamic conditions
 - Phase changes (magnetism below T_n...)
 - Compare / contrast / subtract strategies
- MOST neutron scattering experiments leverage unpolarized neutrons!
- But, sometimes, we need to tease them apart





When polarized neutrons really are needed:

• When there's no other/better way to distinguish different aspects or dimensions (contrast and character) of the "unpolarized" neutron scattering

How to probe? Establish two distinct spin / polarization states **P** (for example, spin-up and spin-down)

Look for changes in one or more of: *I* overall scattered intensity *P*¹polarization state





But how?

- Polarization Optics
 - Filters: select one neutron spin / polarization state
 - Up to 50% transmission
 - Spin manipulation
 - Flippers, guide fields, nutators, zero field regions, Larmor precession regions
- Polarization Configuration
 - Kinds and locations of optics, before and/or after sample
- Linear algebra
 - Contributions from different kinds of scattering simply add up!
 - "Two equations, two unknowns"
 - Sometimes more than two...





Polarization optics: ingredients for P. Configurations

Polarization Configuration

Filters

- 'Quantum' has its advantages...
 - Unpolarized classical has arrows pointing everywhere
 - In ambient field, though, a quantum superposition of 'up' & 'down'
 - A filter can achieve up to* 50% transmission



*Actual transmission varies widely...

Guide fields and nutators

• Larmor precession, via torque $\vec{\tau}$ on neutron magnetic moment $\vec{\mu}$ by applied magnetic field \vec{B}

$$\vec{\tau} = \vec{\mu} \times \vec{B}$$
, $\omega = -\gamma B$

- $\gamma = -1.833E4$ rad/Gauss-sec
- Frequency ω is INDEPENDENT of polar angle φ between applied field and moment
- Magnetic 'guide' fields keep $\vec{\mu}$ either aligned or anti-aligned with respect to \vec{B}
 - Keeps ω fast while changing direction of \vec{B} slowly



Flippers

• Optionally invert the neutron spin-state with respect to the ambient guide field





Polarization optics: ingredients for P. Configurations

Polarization Configuration

Filters

Heusler crystal

Polarizing Supermirror





Nuclear-polarized ³He



Permanent Magnet Yoked Assemblies Rotatable nutator





3D Coils







Flippers

Mezei

Cryogenic (Meissner screen)





Radio-Frequency

Adiabatic Fast Passage /w ³He





Meet the "Vector" family

$\overrightarrow{R_n}$		Coordinates of one atom in unit cell for crystal	
$\overrightarrow{M_n}$		Which way and how strong a magnetic moment of an ATOM points	
P	Polarization	A measure of how 'polarized' the incident beam is, and average orientation of those neutrons' spin (or magnetic moment) at sample position	
$\overrightarrow{P^1}$		The new polarization of the scattered neutrons	
\vec{Q}	Momentum transfer	Incident neutron momentum minus final neutron momentum $\vec{Q_{lab}} = \vec{k_i} \cdot \vec{k_f}$	
$\overrightarrow{M(Q)}$	Magnetic structure factor	Fourier transform of $\overrightarrow{M_n}$	Reciprocal space
$\overrightarrow{M_{\perp}}$	"M perp"	The component of the Magnetic structure factor perpendicular to the momentum transfer $ec{m{Q}}$	



Polarization Configurations access Intensity and/or Polarization State

Polarization Configuration

$N(\boldsymbol{Q}) = \sum_{n} b_{n} e^{i\boldsymbol{Q}\cdot\boldsymbol{R}_{n}}$	Nuclear structure factor
$M_{\perp} = e_Q \times M(Q) \times e_Q$	"M perpendicular"
$M(0) = \sum \mathbf{M} e^{i\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{R}_n}$	Fourier transform of magnetic
$M(\mathbf{Q}) = \sum_{n} m_{n} c$	moments / magnetic structure
	factor
$e_Q = Q/ Q $	Unit vector along momentum
-	transfer Q
I _{si}	Spin incoherent scattered intensity
$\boldsymbol{P}, \boldsymbol{P}^1$	Initial and final polarization

POLARIZATION CONFIGURATION	Impacts the scattered neutron	Optics
Half Polarized Dynamic Nuclear Polarization Solve Phase Problem	Intensity	1 filter 1 flipper
Longitudinal Analysis I	Polarization	2 filters
Larmor	State	1 flipper
Longitudinal Analysis II Spherical Neutron Polarimetry	Both	2 filters 2 flippers

$$I = I_n + N^{\dagger}N + I_{si} + \boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp} + \boldsymbol{P}\cdot\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}^{\dagger}N + \boldsymbol{P}\cdot\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}N^{\dagger} + i\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\left(\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}^{\dagger}\times\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}\right)$$

$$\boldsymbol{P}^{1}\boldsymbol{I} = \boldsymbol{P}\left(\boldsymbol{I}_{n} + N^{\dagger}N - \frac{1}{3}\boldsymbol{I}_{si}\right) + \left(\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}^{\dagger}\right)\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp} + (\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp})\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}^{\dagger} - \boldsymbol{P}\left(\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}\right) + iN\left(\boldsymbol{P}\times\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}^{\dagger}\right) - iN^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{P}\times\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}) + N\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}^{\dagger} + N^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp} - i\left(\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}^{\dagger}\times\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}\right)$$

¹S. V. <u>Maleev</u>, V. G. Bar'yaktar, and R. A. Suris, The scattering of slow neutrons by complex magnetic structures Sov. Phys. Solid State 4, 2533 (1963) ²M. <u>Blume</u>, Polarization effects in the magnetic elastic scattering of slow neutrons, Phys. Rev. 130, 1670 (1963).

CAK RIDGE HIGH FLUX ISOTOPE REACTOR SOURCE



Yes, the Maleev-Blume equations are VERY busy



- Leverage personality flaw found in some scientists
 - A. Make assumptions about the system you are studying
 - B. Eliminate terms
 - C. Simplify / Streamline the math
- Linear algebra
 - N equations & N unknowns \rightarrow solvable problem
- Let's call the solutions to the streamlined equations "Capabilities"
 - Think word problems in reverse...





Polarization Capability

Actional Laboratory REACTOR SOURCE

Configurations, Capabilities and Capability Families

- "Configurations" are specific combinations of polarization optics enabling access to different Maleev-Blume equations
 - Will show examples of configurations in upcoming slides!
- "Capabilities" are specific solutions to streamlined Linear algebra problems
 - Can only be solved utilizing a subset of "Configurations"
 - Assume certain terms in Maleev-Blume equations aren't present
 - Polarization-state 'equation' is actually several equations
- "Capability families" are intuitive (?) groupings of those specific solutions

Capability Families

Isolate nuclear scattering	N & I _N	
Isolate spin-incoherent scattering	l _{si}	
Leverage dynamic nuclear polarization	$N \leftrightarrow I_{si}$	
Solve Phase Problem	N & M ⊥	
Explore magnetic scattering	M_{\perp}	
Explore coinciding of nuclear and	Niwith Ma	
magnetic scattering		
Explore magnetic chirality	<i>M</i> ⊥ cross terms	

Color Key

Nuclear Scattering (coherent & isotope-incoherent) Spin-Incoherent Scattering Magnetic Scattering Dynamic Nuclear Polarization Other





CAK RIDGE HIGH FLUX SPALLATION National Laboratory REACTOR SOURCE

Instruments with half polarized configuration Demonstration experiment (lucky!) N13 Demonstration experiment N7 HF1RHB2A22M8U87HBA008 WEIGHT 2200# HF TRHB2A22M8U87HBA007 WE I GHT 2200

SPALLATION REDGE HIGH FLUX SPALLATION NEUTRON SOURCE









"Half Polarized" Capabilities

 $I = N^{\dagger}N + I_{si} + \boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp} + \boldsymbol{P}\cdot\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}^{\dagger}N + \boldsymbol{P}\cdot\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}N^{\dagger} + i\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\left(\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}^{\dagger}\times\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}\right)$

"Ways around the phase problem" slide 32 of SANS talk Separate magnetic susceptibility from nuclear and spinincoherent signal
 Solve the phase problem using an additional magnetic layer
 Identify coinciding of nuclear and magnetic coherent scattering in reciprocal space
 Identify presence but not direction of a magnetic chiral structure
 Magnon energy gain OR energy loss
 Enhance coherent scattering contrast and S:N for hydrogen

Polarization

Capability

Polarization

Configuration

Capabilities are 'word problems' in reverse!



For successful Half-polarized measurements, you must magnetically saturate your sample!







'Extreme' half-polarized samples make the best polarization filters

Polarization Configuration

Polarizing supermirrors

• $\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp} + \boldsymbol{P}\cdot\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}^{\dagger}N + \boldsymbol{P}\cdot\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}N^{\dagger}$

(but using index of refraction)



Heusler Bragg Optics

• $\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp} + \boldsymbol{P}\cdot\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}^{\dagger}N + \boldsymbol{P}\cdot\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}N^{\dagger}$



He filters

•
$$\sigma_{abs}^{u} \Longrightarrow \sigma_{abs}^{\uparrow\downarrow} + \sigma_{abs}^{\downarrow\downarrow} \Rightarrow \sigma_{abs}^{\uparrow\downarrow}$$



These also demonstrate different ways (Capabilities) to access changes in the Maleev-Blume intensity equation





Dynamic Nuclear Polarization Configuration

Anti-aligned Better





Aligned







Anti-aligned -Aligned

Nailed

the



CAK RIDGE HIGH FLUX SPALLATION National Laboratory REACTOR SOURCE





distinguish between spin flip and non-flip at sample



WS

Longitudinal Analysis 1 Capabilities

$\boldsymbol{P}^{1}\boldsymbol{I} = \boldsymbol{P}\left(\boldsymbol{N}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{N} - \frac{1}{3}\boldsymbol{I}_{si}\right) + \left(\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}^{\dagger}\right)\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp} + \left(\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}\right)\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}^{\dagger} - \boldsymbol{P}\left(\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}\right) + i\boldsymbol{N}\left(\boldsymbol{P}\times\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}^{\dagger}\right) - i\boldsymbol{N}^{\dagger}\left(\boldsymbol{P}\times\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}\right) + \boldsymbol{N}\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}^{\dagger} + \boldsymbol{N}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp} - i\left(\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}^{\dagger}\times\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}\right)$

- Separate nuclear scattering from spin-incoherent scattering
 - Separate spin-incoherent scattering from nuclear scattering
 - Determine quickly whether a signal is magnetic in origin
 - Track an order parameter for ferromagnetism of a powder via depolarization of thru beam
 - Separate nuclear scattering from both spin-incoherent scattering and magnetic scattering
 - Separate spin-incoherent scattering from both both nuclear scattering and magnetic scattering
- Quantify the isotropic magnetic moment magnitude via separation from nuclear and spinincoherent scattering
- Quantify the magnetic moment magnitude and direction and separate it form both nuclear and spin-incoherent scattering
 - Enhance coherent scattering contrast and S:N for hydrogen and Separate from spin-incoherent scattering







Polarization Capability

Polarization Configuration



34

3D

Both Half Polarized and Longitudinal 1 configurations

Demonstration experiment N1-a Demonstration experiment **N2** leverages the Longitudinal 1 configuration









Equivalent Longitudinal Analysis 2 Configuration



Longitudinal Analysis 2 Capabilities

$$I = N^{\dagger}N + I_{si} + \boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp} + \boldsymbol{P}\cdot\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}^{\dagger}N + \boldsymbol{P}\cdot\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}N^{\dagger} + i\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\left(\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}^{\dagger}\times\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}\right)$$

 $\boldsymbol{P}^{I}\boldsymbol{I} = \boldsymbol{P}\left(\boldsymbol{N}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{N} - \frac{1}{3}\boldsymbol{I}_{si}\right) + \left(\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}^{\dagger}\right)\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp} + (\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp})\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}^{\dagger} - \boldsymbol{P}\left(\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}\right) + i\boldsymbol{N}\left(\boldsymbol{P}\times\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}^{\dagger}\right) - i\boldsymbol{N}^{\dagger}\left(\boldsymbol{P}\times\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}\right) + \boldsymbol{N}\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}^{\dagger} + \boldsymbol{N}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp} - i\left(\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}^{\dagger}\times\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}\right)$



Partly quantify chiral magnetic structure or dynamics

Demonstration experiment N20 leverages the Longitudinal 2 configuration



Rarely used. Impossible with magnet due to size restrictions



Capability

Polarization

Polarization Configuration



CAK RIDGE HIGH FLUX SPALLATION National Laboratory REACTOR SOURCE



Coming at neutron spin from another angle...

Enhanced resolution via Larmor techniques

• In a sick and twisted sense, this is a variation of Longitudinal 2...







One person's Trash is another's Treasure

We NEVER want $\vec{n} \perp \vec{B}$ for polarization analysis

- Magnetic Guide Fields
 - Intended to preserve neutron spin state
 - Either aligned or anti-aligned with magnetic field
 - $\vec{n} \parallel \vec{B}$
 - Larmor precession used to maintain this relationship
- OK... One exception was pitched*



40

Depolarization via Larmor precession in a macroscopic system is ANOTHER magnetic contrast mechanism

And yet, that's EXACTLY what's done for 'Larmor' techniques

- More how we manipulate the neutron before and after sample
- Leverage well defined precession frequency to "clock in, clock out"
- Utilizes π/2 "flippers", from aligned with guide field to ⊥

See Poster on Wollaston prisms during 2nd half of this lecture

See Lecture Thurs 11:30: NSE (Laura) & Experiment N6: Neutron Spin Echo

*Not entirely true. One published demonstration combined SNP & Larmor technique. Never repeated to my knowledge. W. Schweika, "Time-of-flight and vector polarization analysis for diffuse neutron scattering" *Physica B* **335** 157 (2003)

Utilize Larmor precession to reveal subtle phase changes

- Less about your sample, contrast & character
- Enhanced resolution for
 - Angle (diffraction, small angle scattering)
 - Energy / time scales

GE Prelude to the final polarization configuration: <u>3 more components</u>

Zero field region

- Instead of preserving neutron moment direction with 'guide field' & Larmor precession
- Only way* to move beyond 'spin-flip' and 'non-spin-flip' for scattering at sample

Zero-field chamber established in part with m-metal enclosure



Meissner (superconducting) barrier

• \vec{B} at interface is either \parallel surface or 0

One screen on this precession chamber couples with external guide field, & the opposite screen couples with zero-field chamber



Precession chamber

- Like Mezei flipper, but rotates to arbitrary angle, not just 180°
- Coupled with nutator or another precession chamber, enables arbitrary orientation for neutron moment
 - Established before sample
 - Extracted after sample



*Not entirely true. One published demonstration combined SNP & Larmor technique. Never repeated to my knowledge. W. Schweika, "Time-of-flight and vector polarization analysis for diffuse neutron scattering" *Physica B* **335** 157 (2003)





Spherical Polarimetry Configuration



needs 0 field at sample Beyond Spin-Flip Need for more exotic systems (chiral, spin-lattice coupling¹) Diagonal elements Multiferroics Antiferromagnets Diffuse scattering



CAK RIDGE HIGH FLUX SPALLATION National Laboratory REACTOR SOURCE

¹J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **9**, 4729 (1997)

Spherical Polarimetry Capabilities

```
I = N^{\dagger}N + I_{si} + \boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp} + \boldsymbol{P}\cdot\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}^{\dagger}N + \boldsymbol{P}\cdot\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}N^{\dagger} + i\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\left(\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}^{\dagger}\times\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}\right)
```

$$\boldsymbol{P}^{1}\boldsymbol{I} = \boldsymbol{P}\left(\boldsymbol{N}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{N} - \frac{1}{3}\boldsymbol{I}_{si}\right) + \left(\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}^{\dagger}\right)\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp} + (\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp})\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}^{\dagger} - \boldsymbol{P}\left(\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}\right) + i\boldsymbol{N}\left(\boldsymbol{P}\times\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}^{\dagger}\right) - i\boldsymbol{N}^{\dagger}\left(\boldsymbol{P}\times\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}\right) + \boldsymbol{N}\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}^{\dagger} + \boldsymbol{N}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp} - i\left(\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}^{\dagger}\times\boldsymbol{M}_{\perp}\right)$$

Quantify magnetic moment and direction
 Quantify 3D aspect of the coinciding of nuclear and magnetic coherent scattering in reciprocal space
 Quantify magnitude and direction of chiral magnetic structure or dynamics

Insight into magnetic domains

Best approach for polarization analysis of any superconducting sample of unusual shape



Polarization Capability Polarization Configuration









Reality Check?

Limitations of Neutron Polarization Configurations

-or-

"Why aren't we doing this ALL the time!?!"







Reactor-based instrument advantages for polarized neutrons:

- More easily configurable about the sample
- Often monochromatic incident beam very compatible with ALL polarization optics
- Smaller detector arrays,

 \rightarrow **better** for polarization development



CAK RIDGE HIGH FLUX SPALLATION National Laboratory REACTOR SOURCE







CAK RIDGE HIGH FLUX SPALLATION National Laboratory REACTOR SOURCE

49

WS

Reality Check

Low Transmission, Spectra



- Weak signal from low moment samples (for magnetism)
 - Try to minimize background to enhance S:N
 - Recover statistics via flux-resolution tradeoff

Imperfect Polarization

• Polarization P $P = \frac{N^{\uparrow} - N^{\downarrow}}{N^{\uparrow} + N^{\downarrow}}$

-1 < P < 1

- Flipping ratio F $F = \frac{N^{\uparrow}}{N^{\downarrow}}$
- Due to imperfect
 - Filters
 - Guide fields
 - Modeling precession
 can help...
 - Flippers
 - Even samples can introduce depolarization effects

Neutron beam trajectory & Sample conditions

- Not wide angle
- <u>OK</u> magnetized sample



- <u>OK</u> wide angle
- <u>Not OK</u> magnetized sample



For many polarized neutron applications, you benefit from completing an

unpolarized neutron scattering experiment in advance

Thanks to NCNR for providing wide angle 'banana' 3He cell for ORNL

Many measurements

- N x measurements
- Errors pile up
 - And with low transmission statistics can be bad to begin with...
- Recommend modeling to the measurement instead of working back to the model



<u>Complementarity</u> between polarized neutron scattering -&-X-ray magnetic circular dichroism

 In addition to the other pro's & con's comparing neutrons & x-rays...



See lecture NEXT week: -Monday Aug 14 11:00: X-Ray dichroism (Jian Liu)

See Poster after this lecture showing example research which leveraged BOTH polarized neutrons AND x-ray dicrhoism!



How to prepare for a polarized neutron experiment

- Reach out to polarized instrument staff
 - For help preparing your 'polarization application statement'
 - For identifying configuration
 - For differences compared to unpolarized experiments (longer, increased # of measurements, etc.)
 - For preparing your proposal
 - For sample preparation (may need a smaller sample, well centered, etc.)





Your invitation to take the red pill

Explore further during NXS



Demonstration experiment N2 leverages the Longitudinal 1 configuration at HYSPEC

Demonstration experiment **N20** leverages the **Longitudinal 2** configuration at the Magnetism Reflectometer

For future reading

- Several dissertations
 - See instrument-specific publication lists
- Various online slide decks and tutorials
 - Kathryn Krycka, 'Neutron Polarization' slides & video at <u>https://neutrons.ornl.gov/nxs/2021/lectur</u> <u>es</u>
 - Ross Stewart, <u>https://www.oxfordneutronschool.org/20</u> <u>11/lectures/osns stewart polarised 2011.</u> <u>pdf</u>
 - Werner Schweika, <u>https://juser.fz-juelich.de/record/20415/files/C6_Schweika.pdf</u>
- Books / chapters
 - Tapan Chatterji (ed.), Neutron Scattering from Magnetic Materials (2006) / several chapters
 - Stephen W Lovesey, Theory of Neutorn Scatteirng from Condensed Matter V2 (1984) / ch 10
 - G. Shirane, SM Shapiro, JM Tranquada, Neutron Scattering with a Triple Axis Spectrometer (2002) / ch 8

Active development and community

- Semi annual meetings / proceedings of PNCMI (polarized neutrons for condensed matter investigations)
 - Proceedings from 2016: https://iopscience.iop.org/issue/1742-6596/862/1
 - Proceedings from 2018: <u>https://iopscience.iop.org/issue/1742-</u> <u>6596/1316/1</u>
 - Proceedings from 2022: https://iopscience.iop.org/issue/1742-6596/2481/1
- Aspirations & new directions at ORNL & NCNR
 - Just ask!
- Actively building user community via training workshops





Conclusion

Questions?



Now go out to the poster session and discover how your specific research might benefit!

